## THE

# PHYSICAL REVIEW

*journal of experimental and theoretical physics established by E. L. Nichols in 1893* 

Second Series, Vol. 133, No. 5A 2 MARCH 1964

### Simple Variational Bound to the Entropy

G. H. DERRICK

*Applied Mathematics Department, The University of New South Wales, Kensington, N. S. W., Australia*  (Received 21 October 1963)

The following variational principle is obtained for the entropy *S(E)* of a system with energy *E:*   $S(E) \geq -k \ln(\text{Trace } U^2)$  for all non-negative Hermitian density matrices U with Trace  $U = 1$ , Trace  $H\ddot{U} = E$ ; *H* is the Hamiltonian and *k* is Boltzmann's constant. The equality sign is realized with this principle for the density matrix of the microcanonical ensemble, as well as for a wide class of similar ensembles (in the limit of large volume).

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

**T** HE object of this note is to give a simple variational bound to the entropy  $S(E)$  at energy  $E$ :

 $S(E) \geq -k \ln(\text{Trace } U^2)$  (1)

for all Hermitian density matrices *U* (with no negative eigenvalues) for which Trace  $U=1$  and Trace  $HU=E$ ; *H* is the Hamiltonian and *k* is Boltzmann's constant. The principle (1) has the advantage that  $U^2$  is in general much easier to evaluate than  $U \ln U$  which appears in the conventional bound given by von Neumann<sup>1</sup>:

$$
S(E) \geq -k \operatorname{Trace} U \ln U. \tag{2}
$$

The optimum density matrix  $U_0$  for which the equality sign in (1) is realized is

$$
U_0 = (\beta/e^2)e^{-S(E)/k} \int_{\lambda < E+2/\beta} (E+2/\beta - \lambda) d\hat{E}(\lambda) , \quad (3)
$$

where  $k\beta = dS(E)/dE$  is the reciprocal temperature and  $H = \int \lambda d\hat{E}(\lambda)$  is the spectral resolution of the Hamiltonian<sup>1</sup>; if H has a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues  $E_i$ and eigenstates  $|i\rangle$ , then  $\hat{E}(\lambda) = \sum_{i \in \Delta} |i\rangle\langle i|$ . The precise value of the normalization constant in (3) depends on the definition adopted for the entropy; the definition used here is

$$
e^{S(E)/k} = \text{Trace } \hat{E}(E) \tag{4}
$$

$$
=
$$
 number of eigenvalues of  $n \geq L$ .

<sup>1</sup> J. von Neumann, *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quanten-*<br> *mechanik* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Vienna, 1932); [English<br>
transl.: by R. T. Beyer (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1955)].

It should be noted that while  $U_0$  gives the optimum bound in (1), a wide class of density matrices *U* actually gives the equality sign apart from terms of relative order  $1/N$ , where  $N$  is the number of particles. For example, the microcanonical ensemble matrix

$$
U_m = e^{-S(E)/k} \left[ \hat{E}(E) - \hat{E}(E_1) \right] \tag{5}
$$

with  $E_1 \leq E$  gives the correct entropy  $S(E)$  when substituted in (1).

#### **PROOF OF (1).**

To prove (1) we start from (2) and write  $U=V$ /Trace *V* where *V* is non-negative, Hermitian, and satisfies Trace  $(H-E)V=0$ , but is not required to be normalized to unit trace. This gives

$$
S(E) \ge k \ln(\text{Trace } V) - k(\text{Trace } V \ln V) / \text{Trace } V. \tag{6}
$$

Now if *x* is a non-negative real number we have from elementary algebra  $-x \ln x \ge x - x^2$ . Since all the eigenvalues of *V* are non-negative by assumption, it follows that  $-\text{Trace } V \ln V \geq \text{Trace } (V - V^2)$ ; hence

$$
S(E) \ge k \ln(\text{Trace } V) + k(\text{Trace}[V - V^2]) / \text{Trace } V. \tag{7}
$$

Let us now replace  $V$  by  $\lambda V$  where  $\lambda$  is a real number, and maximize the right-hand side of (7) with respect to  $\lambda$  for a given *V*. This yields, for the optimum  $\lambda$ ,

(4) 
$$
\lambda = (\text{Trace } V)/\text{Trace } V^2 \text{ or } \text{Trace}(\lambda V - \lambda^2 V^2) = 0.
$$

Hence the density matrix  $V_0$  which optimizes the bound in (7) must satisfy  $Trace(V_0 - V_0^2) = 0$ . Thus the principle  $S(E) \geq k \ln (\text{Trace } V_1)$ , where  $V_1$  is further restricted by Trace  $(V_1 - V_1^2) = 0$ , will yield the same

#### A1215

Copyright © **1964** by The American Physical Society.

optimum bound as (7). If we now write  $V_1=U/\text{Trace}$  $\tilde{U}^2$  where Trace  $U=1$ , which automatically satisfies the condition Trace  $(V_1 - V_1^2) = 0$ , then we obtain the principle (1).

At first sight it might appear unlikely that the equality sign could ever be realized in (1), since the equality  $-x \ln x = x - x^2$  holds only for  $x=0$  and  $x=1$ . However, with the microcanonical ensemble matrix  $U_m$  given by (5), all the eigenvalues of  $U_m/\text{Trace } U_m^2$ are in fact equal to 0 or 1, so that  $U_m$  does indeed give the correct entropy. [On the other hand, the canonical ensemble matrix,  $U=e^{-\beta H}/\text{Trace}$   $e^{-\beta H}$ , which gives the correct entropy in (2), fails to do so when substituted into (1).]

#### THE OPTIMUM DENSITY MATRIX  $U_0$

Let us now prove the result (3) for the density matrix *Uo* which gives the true maximum for the right-hand side of (1).  $\overline{U}_0$  actually gives an entropy greater by *k(2—*ln2) than does the microcanonical ensemble matrix  $U_m$ <sup>[]</sup> First, we observe that the optimum *U* must commute with the Hamiltonian *H.* For, if not, write  $U=U_1+U_2$  with

$$
(U_1)_{ik} = U_{ik} \t i = k = 0 \t i \neq k,
$$

where the matrix elements refer to a representation in which  $H$  is diagonal. Then  $U_1$  commutes with  $H$ ,

Trace 
$$
U_1
$$
=Trace  $U=1$ ,  
Trace  $HU_1$ =Trace  $HU=E$ ,  
Trace  $U_1U_2=0$ ,  
Trace  $U^2$ =Trace  $U_1^2$ +Trace  $U_2^2$ >Trace  $U_1^2$ ,  
 $-k \ln(\text{Trace } U^2) < -k \ln(\text{Trace } U_1^2)$ .

**If** Hence  $U_1$ , which commutes with  $H$ , gives a better bound on the entropy than does *U.* 

Assuming then that *U* commutes with *H,* choose a representation in which both *U* and *H* are diagonal with eigenvalues  $U_i$  and  $E_i$ , respectively. We have

$$
S(E) \geq -k \ln \sum_{i} U_{i}^{2} \quad \text{subject to} \quad U_{i} \geq 0,
$$
  

$$
\sum U_{i} = 1,
$$
  

$$
\sum E_{i} U_{i} = E.
$$

It is now a straightforward matter to maximize with respect to  $U_i$ , using the method of Lagrange multipliers. This yields for the eigenvalues of the optimum density matrix

$$
U_i = C(E+2/\beta - E_i) \qquad E_i < E+2/\beta,
$$
  
= 0 \qquad E\_i \ge E+2/\beta.

The two constants  $C$  and  $\beta$  are Lagrange multipliers which we must determine by the conditions Trace *U* = 1 and Trace  $HU = E$ . We show that  $k\beta = dS(E)/dE$ 

and  $C = (\beta/e^2)e^{-S(E)/k}$ .

Trace 
$$
U = C \sum_{E i \le E + 2/\beta} (E + 2/\beta - E_i)
$$

$$
=C\int_{\lambda
$$
=C\left[\left\{(E+2/\beta-\lambda)+\frac{k}{S'(\lambda)}\cdot\frac{k^2}{S'(\lambda)}\cdot\frac{d}{\lambda}\cdot\frac{1}{S'(\lambda)}\cdot\cdot\cdot\right\}e^{S(\lambda)/k}\right]
$$
$$

on repeatedly integrating by parts. The lower limit for  $\lambda$  is quite irrelevant because of the dominance of the term  $e^{S(\lambda)/k}$  at the upper limit, the entropy being proportional to the volume of the system. Now each term in the above expansion is of order *1/N* compared to the previous term. Hence, retaining only the leading term for large *N* 

$$
1 = \text{Trace}U = \frac{C k}{S'(\lambda_m)} e^{S(\lambda_m)/k} + \text{relative order} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right),
$$

where  $\lambda_m = E + 2/\beta$ . Similarly we find

$$
0 = \text{Trace } (H - E)U = \frac{2Ck}{S'(\lambda_m)} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{k}{S'(\lambda_m)} \right] e^{S(\lambda_m)/k}
$$
  
 + relative order  $\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ ,

whence

$$
k\beta = S'(\lambda_m) = S'(E) + \text{order } (1/N)
$$
  
and

$$
C=\frac{S'(\lambda_m)}{k}e^{-S(\lambda_m)/k}=\frac{\beta}{e^2}e^{-S(E)/k}+\text{relative order}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).
$$

Thus we obtain for the eigenvalues of the optimum density matrix *U<sup>0</sup>*

$$
U_i = (\beta/e^2)(E+2/\beta - E_i) \qquad E_i < E+2/\beta
$$
  
= 0 \qquad E\_i \ge E+2/\beta.

This completes the proof of (3).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Corresponding to the bound (1) for the entropy we have the related variation principles for the freeenergy *F* and the thermodynamic potential  $\Omega = -PV$ :

$$
F \leq \text{Trace } H U + (1/\beta) \ln(\text{Trace } U^2) , \qquad (8)
$$

$$
\Omega \leq \text{Trace}(H - \mu N_{\text{op}})U + (1/\beta) \ln(\text{Trace } U^2), \quad (9)
$$

where *U* is non-negative, Hermitian, and has unit trace; in (9) the formalism of second quantization is used,  $N_{op}$  is the number operator and  $\mu$  the chemical potential.

As an application, let us show how the principle (9) can be used to obtain the Husimi equations<sup>2</sup> for the variationally best independent-particle model. As trial density matrix in (9) we take<sup>3</sup>

$$
U = (constant)\theta(\lambda - \vec{H}), \qquad (10)
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{H} = \sum_{k,k'} \gamma_{kk'} a_k^{\dagger} a_{k'},
$$

 $a_k$  is the annihilation operator in an arbitrary representation,  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma_{kk'}$  are variation parameters and  $\theta$  is the step function:

$$
\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &= 0 & x &< 0 \\ &= 1 & x > 0 \end{aligned}
$$

for a real argument *x,* while the step function of an operator is to be understood in the sense given by von Neumann.<sup>1</sup> The trial matrix (10) may be regarded as that of a microcanonical ensemble for an effective Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}$ <sup>4</sup>, and we seek the best such, i.e., that which optimizes the bound (9).

Let us write

$$
\sum (\lambda) = \ln[\text{Trace } \theta(\lambda - \tilde{H})],
$$
  

$$
\tilde{\beta} = d \sum (\lambda) / d\lambda,
$$
  

$$
\tilde{U} = \exp(-\tilde{\beta}\tilde{H}) / \text{Trace } \exp(-\tilde{\beta}\tilde{H}).
$$

 $\tilde{U}$  may be regarded as the grand canonical ensemble matrix which corresponds to the microcanonical ensemble matrix (10); because of the well-known equivalence of the grand and microcanonical ensembles<sup>5</sup>  $\tilde{U}$  will give the same statistical averages of extensive variables as does *U,* apart from terms of relative order *]nN/N* or *1/N.* Thus

$$
\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Trace}(H - \mu N_{\text{op}}) U &\approx \operatorname{Trace}(H - \mu N_{\text{op}}) \widetilde{U} \\ &- \ln(\operatorname{Trace} U^2) = \sum (\lambda) \\ &\approx - \operatorname{Trace} \widetilde{U} \ln \widetilde{U}, \end{aligned}
$$

where the neglected terms are irrelevant in the limit of large volume. Hence (9) gives

$$
\Omega \leq \text{Trace} \, (H - \mu N_{\text{op}} + (1/\beta) \ln \tilde{U}) \tilde{U}.
$$

This is precisely the conventional bound to  $\Omega$  corresponding to  $(2)$ , using a grand canonical-type independent-particle matrix  $\tilde{U}$ .

Thus the trial matrix (10) used to optimize the bound (9) will simply reproduce the Husimi formalism.<sup>6</sup>

Finally, we note that (1) and (2) are special cases of a more general principle, true for any positive number *a:* 

$$
S(E) \geq - (k/a) \ln(\text{Trace } U^{a+1})
$$

subject to U non-negative, Trace  $U=1$ , Trace  $HU=E$ . This principle is readily proved from (2) by using the inequality

$$
-x\ln x \ge (x-x^{a+1})/a, \text{ for } a>0, x \ge 0,
$$

(1) is obtained by taking  $a=1$ , and (2) by letting

<sup>6</sup> The equivalence may be shown in the present case by writing the step function in (10) as an integral transform:

$$
\theta(\lambda - \tilde{H}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c - i\infty}^{c + i\infty} \frac{e^{\beta(\lambda - \tilde{H})}}{\beta} d\beta, \text{ where } c > 0.
$$

After carrying out the statistical averages using the operator  $e^{\beta(\lambda-\widetilde{H})}$ .

we then perform the integration over  $\beta$  using the saddle-point method; only the neighborhood of  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$  contributes significantly.

 $^{\rm 6}$  It should be noted that  $\tilde{U}$  would not lead to the Husimi equations if used as a trial density matrix in (9). Indeed,  $\tilde{U}$  does not give the correct value of  $\Omega$  in (9) even in the absence of interaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> K. Husimi, Proc. Phys. Maths. Soc. Japan, 22, 264(1940).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We could equally well take the form  $\theta(\lambda-\tilde{H})-\theta(\lambda_1-\tilde{H})$  with  $\lambda_1 < \lambda$ ; because of the rapid increase of Trace  $\theta(\lambda - \tilde{H})$  with  $\lambda$ , the second term is quite irrelevant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Strictly,  $\tilde{H}$  corresponds to an effective one-particle  $H - \mu N_{\text{op}}$ , rather than to a Hamiltonian.